



# PEER REVIEW PROFORMA BIOMEDICA

Official Journal of University of Health Sciences, Lahore  
Ph: +92-42-99231304-9, Ext: 398 - Email: editorbiomedica@uhs.edu.pk



Title of Manuscript: \_\_\_\_\_

Code of Manuscript: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Manuscript: \_\_\_\_\_

### Disclosure (Please Tick OR Highlight)

- I have no conflict of interest in reviewing this article.
- I will not conduct similar study on this subject until final decision is taken by the journal about this article.
- I will observe the confidentiality of the reviewed manuscript.

*If response to any of the above statements is in "No" then promptly reply to the editor in line with SOPs for Peer Review attached.*

### SECTION I: Comments per Section of Manuscript:

<p><b>General comments on:</b> Importance of the subject, write up style, spelling and grammar etc</p>	
<p><b>Title:</b> The title is clear and informative and reflects the aim and approach of the work. Does the title, seen in isolation, give a full yet concise and specific indication of the work carried out?</p>	
<p><b>Abstract &amp; Key words:</b> Structured as per guidelines of Biomedica  Up to 250 words with 3-5 standard key words etc.</p>	
<p><b>Introduction:</b> Up to date and relevant literature is chosen  Importance of topic is highlighted  Introduction does not end abruptly. Rationale/objectives provided at the end in a transition para. etc</p>	
<p><b>Methods:</b> Study design and methodology described with clarity.  Ethical approval number is mentioned  If applicable, commercial sources are acknowledged.</p>	

<p><b>Statistical Analysis :</b> Separate heading is given.</p> <p>Statistical tests incorporated with brief explanation etc</p>	
<p><b>Results:</b> Data presented in clear sentences supported by tables and graphs.</p> <p>Appropriâte statistical analysis applied with assumptions and biases considered</p> <p>Data repetition is avoided in text, tables and figures.</p> <p>Graph and pictures resolution is clear (300 dpi)</p>	
<p><b>Discussion:</b> Key findings discussed and comparisons made with already reported literature etc.</p> <p>Implications, speculations, assumptions made.</p>	
<p><b>Conclusion:</b> Logically drawn.</p> <p>Implications stated, not the results.</p> <p>Future scope/recommendations suggested.</p>	

<p><b>References:</b> Appropriate citations made in text</p> <p>Vancouver style as per Instructions to authors is strictly followed</p> <p>More than 75% references are latest (within 05 years)</p>	
<p><b>Tables and Figures:</b> Clarity Only significant data presented</p> <p>Chart types are appropriate for the data</p>	
<p><b>Limitations</b> Addressed with logic and justification</p>	

**SECTION II - Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = poor)**

Originality:	
Contribution to the field:	
Technical quality:	

Clarity of presentation :	
Depth of research:	
Compliance to Instructions to Authors	
Referencing style in Bibliography	

**SECTION III - Recommendation: (*Kindly Mark With An X*)**

Accept as it is:	
Requires Minor Corrections:	
Requires Moderate Revision:	
Requires Major Revision:	
Reject on Grounds of (Please Be Specific):	

**SECTION V: Additional Comments**

What suggestions would help the author(s) get the manuscript in better shape (e.g., English-language, critical appraisal, better organization, scientific context, statistical analysis etc.)?

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Designation: \_\_\_\_\_

Institute: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact No: \_\_\_\_\_

Email ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

In case of any query, please feel free to contact the editorial office regarding this review at  
 editorbiomedica@uhs.edu.pk